Intro

Lecture 11 Continuous and discrete-continuous decision problems

2019 Econometric Society Summer School in Dynamic Structural Econometrics

Fedor Iskhakov, Australian National University

Booth School of Business and Becker Friedman Institute for Economics University of Chicago July 8th - 14th, 2019

Discrete and continuous choice?

In economics discrete and continuous choice co-exist

- How much to work + when to retire/become an entrepreneur
- How much to save + when to buy a house/car/durables
- Which car to buy + how much to drive

Often modeled separately using traditional solution methods:

- Discrete choice \rightarrow optimization over finite set
- Continuous choice \rightarrow first order conditions + concavity(?)
- Dynamic \rightarrow dynamic programming (VFI, policy, time iterations)

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) 三

3/93

Discrete and continuous choice?

In discrete-continuous choice models:

- Intrinsic non-concavity
- First order conditions not sufficient
- Kinks in value functions + discontinuities in policy functions

Traditional methods are not ideal

- Need global optimizer in each point of the state space
- Need to locate and keep track of kinks and discontinuities
- Need special numerical procedures for non-smooth objects

 \Rightarrow Endogenous grid point methods

ntro	EGM	DC-I

Plan for the lecture

- Original EGM for continuous choice only Only for particular (yet interesting and important) models (stochastic growth models, consumption-savings (buffer stock) models)
- OC-EGM for discrete-continuous choice without taste shocks For models with one continuous and additional discrete choices Nasty and scary
- DC-EGM for discrete-continuous choice with taste shocks For models with one continuous and additional discrete choices Structural taste shocks or logit smoothing Much better, possible to work with
- Some words on multi-dimensional extensions and occasionally binding constraints

DC-EGM

The Method of Endogenous Gridpoints — fast method for solving dynamic stochastic consumption/savings problems

- finite and infinite horizon
- Strictly concave monotone and differentiable utility function
- one continuous state variable (*wealth*) and one continuous choice (*consumption*)
- particular structure of the law of motion for state variables (*intertemporal budget constraint*)
- very well accommodate potentially binding borrowing constraints

DC-EGM for Discrete-Continuous problems

Expand the class of problems to be solved:

- **3** A1. Strictly concave monotone and differentiable utility function
- ² Continuous state M_t with a particular motion rule
- Additional (discrete) state variables st_t
 A2. Transition probabilities of st_t are independent of M_t
- One continuous (c_t) and one* discrete choice variable d_t

Two flavors:

- Without taste shocks: DC-EGM iterates on value function and policy function, produces exact solutions for the optimal thresholds for discrete decisions (discrete policy)
- With taste shocks: DC-EGM iterates on discrete choice specific value and policy functions, produces choice probabilities for discrete alternatives

Learning outcomes = points to remember

- If your model has one continuous (consumption) choice and additional discrete choices → Use DC-EGM
- In regular cases DC-EGM avoids all root-finding operations
- If utility is separable in continuous and discrete choices, DC-EGM deals very easily with credit constraints
- Extreme value taste shocks \rightarrow solution is much better behaved
- Saster and more accurate than traditional approaches

Intro

EGM

DC-EGM

Multiple dimensions

Estimation

EGM

<ロ><合><合><き</br>

<日><合><き</td>
<き</td>
<き</td>
<き</td>
<の<</td>
<0</td>

Simple consumption/savings model (Phelps)

$$V_t(M_t) = \max_{0 \le c \le M_t} \left[u(c) + \beta E V_{t+1} \left(\tilde{R}(M_t - c) \right) \right]$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} M_t & \mbox{cash-in-hand, all resources available at period } t \\ A_t = M_t - c_t & \mbox{assets at the end of period } t \mbox{ (savings)} \\ \tilde{R} & \mbox{deterministic or stochastic return on savings} \\ u(c) & \mbox{utility of current consumption} \end{array}$

$$u(c) = rac{c^{
ho}-1}{
ho} \mathop{
ightarrow}_{
ho
ightarrow 0} log(c)$$

9/93

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 三日

Intro EGM DC-EGM Multiple dimensions

Analytic solution (Hakansson, 1970, Phelps, 1962)

$$V_{\mathcal{T}-t}(M) = \left[\frac{M^{\rho}}{\rho}\right] \left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} \mathcal{K}^{i}\right)^{(1-\rho)} - \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} \beta^{i}\right)$$
$$V_{\mathcal{T}-t}(M) \xrightarrow[\rho \to 0]{} \log(M) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} \beta^{i}\right) + \mathcal{K}_{t}$$
$$c_{\mathcal{T}-t}(M) = M \left(\sum_{i=0}^{t} \mathcal{K}^{i}\right)^{-1}$$

K and K_t are functions of primitives, K $\underset{\rho \rightarrow 0}{\rightarrow} \beta$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > = Ξ

Estimation

10/93





Estimation



Analytic solution : consumption rule



Intro EGM DC-EGM Multiple dimensions

Simple consumption/savings model (Deaton)

$$V_t(M_t) = \max_{0 \le c \le M_t} \left[u(c) + \beta E V_{t+1} \left(R(M_t - c) + \tilde{\mathbf{y}} \right) \right]$$

- M_t cash-in-hand, all resources available at period t
- $A_t = M_t c_t$ assets at the end of period t (savings)
 - *R* deterministic return on savings
 - *ỹ* stochastic income
 - u(c) utility of current consumption

$$u(c) = rac{c^
ho - 1}{
ho} \mathop{
ightarrow}_{
ho
ightarrow 0} log(c)$$

No analytical solution!

<ロ> < 部 > < 書 > く 書 > き の Q () 13/93 Intro EGM DC-EGM Multiple dimensions

Traditional approach : value function iterations

- Fix grid over M_t. For every point on this grid:
- **2** In the terminal period calculate $V_T(M_T) = \max_{0 \le c_T \le M_T} \{u(c_T)\}$ and $c_T^* = \operatorname{argmax}_{0 \le c_T \le M_T} \{u(c_T)\}$
- With t + 1 value function at hand, proceed backward to period t and calculate

$$V_{t}(M_{t}) = \max_{0 \leq c_{t} \leq M_{t}} \left\{ u(c_{t}) + \beta EV_{t+1} \left(\tilde{R}(M_{t} - c_{t}) \right) \right\}$$

and
$$c_{t}^{*} = \underset{0 \leq c_{t} \leq M_{t}}{\operatorname{argmax}_{0 \leq c_{t} \leq M_{t}}} \left\{ u(c_{t}) + \beta EV_{t+1} \left(\tilde{R}(M_{t} - c_{t}) \right) \right\}$$

using Bellman equation





- Introduction to the code
- Phelps and Deaton models
- 8 Run VFI solver

イロト イヨト イヨト ・ヨー わらの

16/93

Euler equation

$$\mathsf{Bellman equation:} \ V_t(M_t) = \mathsf{max}_{0 \leq c_t \leq M_t} \left[u(c_t) + \beta E V_{t+1} \left(\tilde{R}(M_t - c_t) \right) \right]$$

F.O.C. for Bellman equation:
$$u'(c_t) = \beta E \left[rac{\partial V_{t+1}(M_{t+1})}{\partial M_{t+1}} ilde{R}
ight]$$

Envelope theorem:

$$\frac{\partial V_t(M_t)}{\partial M_t} = \beta E \left[\frac{\partial V_{t+1}(M_{t+1})}{\partial M_{t+1}} \tilde{R} \right] \Rightarrow \frac{\partial V_t(M_t)}{\partial M_t} = u'(c_t) \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial V_{t+1}(M_{t+1})}{\partial M_{t+1}} = u'(c_{t+1})$$

Euler equation to characterize the interior solutions: $u'(c_t) = \beta E \left[u'(c_{t+1}) \tilde{R} \right]$

Intro EGM DC-EGM Multiple dimensions

Traditional approach : solving Euler equation

- Fix grid over M_t . For every point on this grid:
- In the terminal period calculate $c_T^* = argmax_{0 \le c_T \le M_T} \{u(c_T)\}$
- With t + 1 optimal consumption rule c^{*}_{t+1}(M_{t+1}) at hand, proceed backward to period t and calculate c_t from equation

$$\begin{array}{l} u'\left(c_{t}\right)=\beta E\left\lfloor u'\left(c_{t+1}^{*}\left(\tilde{R}(M_{t}-c_{t})\right)\right)\tilde{R}\right\rfloor \\ \text{to recover } c_{t}^{*}(M_{t}) \end{array}$$

When M_t is small enough so credit constraint binds, the Euler equation does not hold, and special provisions are necessary





Exercise 1:

- Ode up the Euler equation solver
- Verify solution against VFI solver

What if no root-finding is necessary?

With numerical optimization

- Relatively slow: iterative numerical optimization in each point of state space!
- Hard to find global optimum in non-convex problems
- Loss of accuracy due to the absence of the point where credit constraint starts to bind on the fixed grid

Even when using state-of-the-art solvers!

Without numerical optimiation

- Much faster: no iterative methods in each point of the state space
- More accurate: using analytical structure of the problem

Intro **EGM** DC-EGM

Endogenous gridpoint method (EGM)

C

Carroll 2006 Economics Letters

The method of endogenous gridpoints for solving dynamic stochastic optimization problems.

Idea

- Instead of searching for optimal decision in each point of the state space (traditional approaches)
- Look for the state variable (level of assets) where arbitrary chosen decision (consumption → savings) would be optimal (EGM)

Start with $c_{\tau}^{\star} = M_{T}$. In each period t = T, T - 1, .., 1:

EGM step

- Take a guess $A = \text{current period savings} (= M_t c_t)$ (from fixed or adaptive list/grid)
- Intertemporal budget constraint: A → M_{t+1} $M_{t+1} = \tilde{R}(M_t c_t) = \tilde{R} \cdot A$
- Policy function at period t + 1: $M_{t+1} \rightarrow c_{t+1}$ $c_{t+1} = c_{t+1}^{\star} (M_{t+1})$
- Inverted Euler equation: $c_{t+1} \rightarrow c_t$ $c_t = (u')^{-1} \left(\beta E \left[\tilde{R} \cdot u' \left(c_{t+1}^{\star} \left(M_{t+1} \right) \right) |A] \right)$
- Intratemporal budget constraint: $c_t + A = M_t \rightarrow c_t (M_t)$ $M_t = c_t + A \rightarrow c_t^* (M_t)$

Start with $c_{\tau}^{\star} = M_{T}$. In each period t = T, T - 1, ..., 1:



EGM algorithm

Start with $c_T^{\star} = M_T$. In each period t = T, T - 1, .., 1:



Start with $c_T^{\star} = M_T$. In each period t = T, T - 1, ..., 1:

DC-EGM

Multiple dimensions

EGM step • Take a guess A = current period savings (= $M_t - c_t$) (from fixed or adaptive list/grid) 2 Intertemporal budget constraint: $A \rightarrow M_{t+1}$ $M_{t+1} = \tilde{R}(M_t - c_t) = \tilde{R} \cdot A$ **O** Policy function at period t + 1: $M_{t+1} \rightarrow c_{t+1}$ $c_{t+1} = c_{t+1}^{\star} (M_{t+1})$

EGM algorithm

Start with $c_T^{\star} = M_T$. In each period t = T, T - 1, .., 1:

EGM step • Take a guess A = current period savings (= $M_t - c_t$) (from fixed or adaptive list/grid) 2 Intertemporal budget constraint: $A \rightarrow M_{t+1}$ $M_{t+1} = \tilde{R}(M_t - c_t) = \tilde{R} \cdot A$ **O** Policy function at period t + 1: $M_{t+1} \rightarrow c_{t+1}$ $c_{t+1} = c_{t+1}^{\star} (M_{t+1})$ • Inverted Euler equation: $c_{t+1} \rightarrow c_t$ $c_{t} = (u')^{-1} \left(\beta E \left| \tilde{R} \cdot u' \left(c_{t+1}^{\star} \left(M_{t+1} \right) \right) |A| \right) \right)$

EGM algorithm

Start with $c_T^{\star} = M_T$. In each period t = T, T - 1, .., 1:

EGM step • Take a guess A = current period savings (= $M_t - c_t$) (from fixed or adaptive list/grid) 2 Intertemporal budget constraint: $A \rightarrow M_{t+1}$ $M_{t+1} = \tilde{R}(M_t - c_t) = \tilde{R} \cdot A$ **O** Policy function at period t + 1: $M_{t+1} \rightarrow c_{t+1}$ $c_{t+1} = c_{t+1}^{\star} (M_{t+1})$ • Inverted Euler equation: $c_{t+1} \rightarrow c_t$ $c_{t} = (u')^{-1} \left(\beta E \left| \tilde{R} \cdot u' \left(c_{t+1}^{\star} \left(M_{t+1} \right) \right) |A| \right) \right)$ Intratemporal budget constraint: $c_t + A = M_t \rightarrow c_t (M_t)$ $M_t = c_t + A \rightarrow c_t^{\star} (M_t)$

Matlab implementation (minimal.m)

DC-EGM

EGM

Intro

```
[quadp quadw] = quadpoints (EXPN, 0, 1);
0
  quadstnorm=norminv(quadp,0,1);
  sgrid=linspace(0,MMAX,NM);
  policy{TBAR}.w=[0 MMAX];
  policy{TBAR}.c=[0 MMAX];
for it = TBAR - 1: - 1: 1
   w1=Y+exp(quadstnorm*SIGMA)*(1+R)*sgrid;
   c1=interp1(policy{it+1}.w,policy{it+1}.c,w1,'linear'
   rhs=quadw'*(1./c1);
   policy{it}.c=[0 1./(DF*(1+R)*rhs)];
   policy{it}.w=[0 sgrid+policy{it}.c(2:end)];
10
  end
```

Multiple dimensions

Estimation

Intro	EGM	DC-EGM

・ロト ・御ト ・ヨト ・ヨト 三日

23 / 93

Accuracy and	speed	of	EGM
--------------	-------	----	-----

	Traditional Euler	EGM
Running time	37 sec.	0.11 sec.
Max abs error, c_t^{\star}	5e-9	4e-14
Mean abs error, c_t^{\star}	1.4e-12	1.5e-14
Max abs error, $V_t(M, \mathbb{R})$	39.466	15.163
Mean abs error, $V_t(M, \mathbb{R})$	2.5e-02	3.2e-02





Multiple dimensions

24 / 93



- Output the simulator code
- Simulate flat consumption path using VFI and EGM solutions

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Theorem: Monotonicity of savings

Monotone and concave utility function \Rightarrow end-of-period assets $A_t = M_t - c_t$ are non-decreasing in M_t

- With A = 0 the EGM loop recovers the value of cash-in-hand M_t^{cc} that bounds the credit constrained region
- For all $M_t < M_t^{cc}$ credit constrained binds $\Rightarrow c_t = M_t$
- Consumption rule in the credit constrained region is 45° line between (0,0) and (M^{cc}_t, M^{cc}_t)
- As simple as "connect the dots" (0,0) and (M_t^{cc}, M_t^{cc})







Intro EGM DC-EGM

Credit constraints and value function

- Credit constraints are handled so well by EGM because it is never necessary to compute utility of nearly zero consumption
- Inevitable when value functions have to be computed, but..

$$\begin{split} & M_t < M_t^{cc} \\ & V_t(M) = u(M) + \beta E V_{t+1}(0) \\ & E V_{t+1}(0) - \text{expected value of ending period } t \text{ with } A_t = 0 \end{split}$$

• Value function has analytic form for $M_t < M_t^{cc}$!



Multiple dimensions



 How to evaluate value function with EGM

Exercise 2:

• Code up an EGM solver for infinite horizon problem



Intro

EGM

DC-EGM

Multiple dimensions

Estimation

DC-EGM

ntro EGM	
----------	--

Generalization of EGM

- Iskhakov, Jørgensen, Rust, Schjerning, QE forthcoming The Endogenous Grid Method for Discrete-Continuous Dynamic Choice Models with (or without) Taste Shocks
 - The DC-EGM paper
 - Two flavors: with and without EV taste shocks
 - Solution method made for empirical applications
- Giulio Fella, RED 2014 A Generalized Endogenous Grid Method for Non-Smooth and Non-Concave Problems
 - Identify the regions of the problem where Euler equation is not sufficient for optimality
 - Use global optimization methods inside (VFI) and EGM outside
 - Similar to DC-EGM without taste shocks

ntro	EGM	DC-EGM
Itro	EGIVI	DC-EGW

Simple retirement model

$$V_t(M_t, \mathbb{W}) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \max_{0 \le c \le M_t} u(c, \mathbb{R}) + \beta E V_{t+1} \left(\tilde{R}(M_t - c), \mathbb{R} \right) \\ \max_{0 \le c \le M_t} u(c, \mathbb{W}) + \beta E V_{t+1} \left(\tilde{R}(M_t + y - c), \mathbb{W} \right) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$V_t(M_t,\mathbb{R}) = \max_{0 \le c \le M_t} \left[u(c,\mathbb{R}) + \beta EV_{t+1} \left(\tilde{R}(M_t - c),\mathbb{R} \right) \right]$$

- $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{W} \quad \text{retirement and working states } st_t \text{ that evolve accord-ing to discrete choices } d \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{W}\}$
 - y deterministic wage income

$$u(c,d) = rac{c^
ho - 1}{
ho} - \mathbf{1}(d = \mathbb{W}) \mathop{ outrightarrow}_{
ho o 0} log(c) - \mathbf{1}(d = \mathbb{W})$$

<ロ> < 部 > < 書 > く 書 > 差 ・ 多 へ () 31/93
Analytic solution

$$\begin{split} u(c) &= \log(c), \, R = 1 \implies c_{T-t}^{\star}(M, \mathbb{W}) = \\ \begin{cases} M & \text{if } M \leq y/\beta \\ (y+M)/(1+\beta) & \text{if } y/\beta \leq M \leq \overline{M}_{T-t}^{l_1} \\ (2y+M)/(1+\beta+\beta^2) & \text{if } \overline{M}_{T-t}^{l_1} \leq M \leq \overline{M}_{T-t}^{l_2} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ ((t-1)y+M) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{t-1}\beta^i\right)^{-1} & \text{if } \overline{M}_{T-t}^{l_{t-2}} \leq M \leq \overline{M}_{T-t}^{l_{t-1}} \\ (ty+M) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{t}\beta^i\right)^{-1} & \text{if } \overline{M}_{T-t}^{l_{t-1}} \leq M \leq \overline{M}_{T-t}^{l_{t-1}} \\ ([t-1)y+M] \left(\sum_{i=0}^{t}\beta^i\right)^{-1} & \text{if } \overline{M}_{T-t}^{l_{t-1}} \leq M \leq \overline{M}_{T-t}^{l_{t-1}} \\ (2y+M) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{t}\beta^i\right)^{-1} & \text{if } \overline{M}_{T-t}^{l_{t-2}} \leq M \leq \overline{M}_{T-t}^{l_{t-1}} \\ (y+M) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{t}\beta^i\right)^{-1} & \text{if } \overline{M}_{T-t}^{l_{t-2}} \leq M \leq \overline{M}_{T-t}^{l_{t-1}} \\ M \left(\sum_{i=0}^{t}\beta^i\right)^{-1} & \text{if } \overline{M}_{T-t}^{l_{t-1}} \leq M \leq \overline{M}_{T-t} \\ \end{cases}$$

Analytic solution



The goal:

- Avoid root finding
- Keep efficient treatment of credit constraints

DC-EGM ver. 1.0

Second Step for each discrete choice d and every state st

- Ompute d-specific value functions and consumption rules
- Compare the *d*-specific value functions to find optimal switching points (compute upper envelope)
- Reconstruct overall consumption rule and value function from optimal switching points

The goal:

- Avoid root finding
- Keep efficient treatment of credit constraints

DC-EGM ver. 1.0

Second Step for each discrete choice d and every state st

- Ompute d-specific value functions and consumption rules
- Compare the *d*-specific value functions to find optimal switching points (compute upper envelope)
- Reconstruct overall consumption rule and value function from optimal switching points

The goal:

- Avoid root finding
- Keep efficient treatment of credit constraints

DC-EGM ver. 1.0

- Second Step for each discrete choice d and every state st
- Ocompute d-specific value functions and consumption rules
- Compare the *d*-specific value functions to find optimal switching points (compute upper envelope)
- Reconstruct overall consumption rule and value function from optimal switching points

The goal:

- Avoid root finding
- Keep efficient treatment of credit constraints

DC-EGM ver. 1.0

- Second Step for each discrete choice d and every state st
- ² Compute *d*-specific value functions and consumption rules
- Compare the *d*-specific value functions to find optimal switching points (compute upper envelope)
- Reconstruct overall consumption rule and value function from optimal switching points

Intro EGM DC-EGM Multiple dimensions

Estimation

How to approach discrete/continuos choice

DC-EGM ver. 1.0

- Section 2 EGM step for each discrete choice *d* and every state *st*
- Ompute d-specific value functions and consumption rules
- Compare the *d*-specific value functions to find optimal switching points (compute upper envelope)
- Reconstruct overall consumption rule and value function from optimal switching points
 - No root finding!
 - Efficient treatment of credit constraints (to be shown)
 - Need to compute value functions
 - Need to compute upper envelope

Intro EGM DC-EGM Multiple dimensions

Is Euler equation still a necessary condition?

DC-EGM ver. 1.0

- **I** EGM step for each discrete choice *d* and every state *st*
- Ompute d-specific value functions and consumption rules
- Compare the *d*-specific value functions to find optimal switching points (compute upper envelope)
- Reconstruct overall consumption rule and value function from optimal switching points

Clausen & Strub, 2010-2013

A General and Intuitive Envelope Theorem.

Show that Euler equation remains a necessary condition for the optimal continuous consumption.





37 / 93

Period T-1: Choice specific VF



Period T - 1: Choice specific VF



Intro EGM DC-EGM Multiple dimensions Period T-1: Optimal consumption



So, what is going on

- **∂**-specific value functions intersect (due to trade-off between income and disutility of work)
 ↓
- The upper envelope of the value functions has a kink and combined consumption function has a discontinuity

Period T - 2: Choice specific VF



Period T - 2: Secondary upper envelope



So, what is going on

0 d-specific value functions intersect (due to trade-off between income and disutility of work)
 ↓

- On The upper envelope of the value functions has a kink and combined consumption function has a discontinuity
 ↓
- Derivative of the value function has a discontinuity at the kink
 - ∜
- For some values of wealth (on endogenous grid) Euler equation has two solutions!

If endogenous grid points are sorted \rightarrow zigzag region

Multiple zeros of Euler residuals



Period T - 2: Secondary upper envelope: detect



4 ロ ト 4 部 ト 4 差 ト 4 差 ト 差 の 4 で
46 / 93

Period T - 2: Secondary upper envelope: result



 How to algorithmically detect "zigzag" regions?

Theorem: monotonicity

Under weak regularity conditions on the utility function and intertemporal budget constraint, savings function is weakly increasing. $A_t(M'_t) \ge A_t(M''_t)$ for every $M'_t \ge M''_t$ for all t.

Note: savings function may still have "upward" jumps

- Sort the exogenous grid over A in ascending order
- Then the sequence of endogenous grid points over M has to be in ascending order as well as long as Euler equation is sufficient
- Every time the endogenous grid "bends back" the endogenous grid is separated into subsets of points
- Calculate the Upper envelope on the segments over the subsets
- Delete suboptimal endogenous points
- Find and add a kink point to the endogenous grid

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ

What happens to optimal consumption?



49 / 93

What happens to optimal consumption?



50/93

Period T - 2: VF, primary and secondary kinks



Intro EGM DC-EGM Multiple dimensions Period T-2: Optimal consumption



Optimal consumption (many periods)



Estimation

Multiple dimensions



Optimal retirement (many periods)



ntro	EGM	DC-EGM

DC-EGM full algorithm

DC-EGM ver. 2.0

- Start from terminal period, compute optimal consumption rule and value function. Loop backwards over time:
- **2** EGM step for each discrete choice *d* and every state *st*
- Sompute *d*-specific value functions and consumption rules
- Compute the "secondary" upper envelope over the "zig-zag" regions of the *d*-specific value functions and update the corresponding consumption rules
- Compare the *d*-specific value functions to find optimal switching points (compute upper envelope)
- Reconstruct overall consumption rule and value function from optimal switching points

Properties of the full solution

- Value functions are non-concave and have kinks
- Consumption functions have discontinuities
- Oiscontinuities/kinks propagate through time and accumulate

This properties are attributes of the model itself. Any solution method has to deal with these complexities.

DC-EGM matches the analytical solution perfectly!



- Replicate the solution using model_retirement.m
- Simulate the consumption path for βR = 1 and discuss the accuracy of the solutions

Random shocks do help, however:

- Smooth out secondary kinks only
- Primary kinks (switching between discrete options) remain

DC-EGM

 May not smooth out all kinks: continuous but sharp declines in optimal consumption at t may lead to a discontinuity/kink at t - 1

Multiple dimensions

- Expectations in Euler equation have to be taken over discontinuous functions
 - More kinks/discontinuities from sloppy computation
 - Need to integrate over "continuous" intervals separately

Period T-3: Optimal consumption with $\sigma = .1$



Intro EGM Multiple dimensions Before T-3: Optimal consumption with $\sigma = .1$



Period T - 3: Optimal consumption with $\sigma = .2$



Period T - 3: VF with $\sigma = .2$



Intro EGM DC-EGM Multiple dimensions Estimation Period T-3: Optimal consumption with $\sigma = .22$



Period T - 3: VF with $\sigma = .22$


Extreme value distributed taste shocks

- Smooth out primary kinks
- Extreme value distribution closed form expectations and standard in empirical applications
- Two interchangeable interpretations
 - Structural: unobserved state variables
 - Logit smoothing: to streamline the solution
- Work together with other shocks in the model
 - EV taste shocks smooth out primary kinks
 - Random returns smooth out secondary kinks
- Complete smoothing is not guaranteed in general: secondary kinks may persist



Intro EGM DC-EGM Multiple dimensions Retirement problem with taste shocks

Re-formulate in terms of choice specific value functions

$$V_t(M_t, \mathbb{W}) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} v_t(M_t, \mathbb{W}, \mathbb{R}) + \sigma \epsilon_{\mathbb{R}} \\ v_t(M_t, \mathbb{W}, \mathbb{W}) + \sigma \epsilon_{\mathbb{W}} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{L} \mathcal{V}_{t+1}(x, \mathbb{W}) = \sigma \log \left[\exp \frac{-\sigma}{\sigma} + \exp \frac{-\sigma}{\sigma} \right]$$

$$V_t(M_t,\mathbb{R}) = \max_{0 \le c \le M_t} \left[u(c) + \beta E V_{t+1} \left(\tilde{R}(M_t - c), \mathbb{R} \right) \right]$$

Smoothed Euler equation

Without taste shocks – "discontinuous" Euler equation:

$$u'(c_t) = \beta E \left[u'(c_{t+1}(\mathbb{W}/\mathbb{R}))\tilde{R} \right]$$

With EV taste shocks – smoothed Euler equation:

$$u'(c_t) = \beta E\left[P_{t+1}(\mathbb{W})u'(c_{t+1}(\mathbb{W}))\tilde{R} + P_{t+1}(\mathbb{R})u'(c_{t+1}(\mathbb{R}))\tilde{R}\right]$$

Choice probability

$$P_{t+1}(\mathbb{W}) = \frac{\exp \frac{v_{t+1}(M_{t+1},\mathbb{W},\mathbb{W})}{\sigma}}{\exp \frac{v_{t+1}(M_{t+1},\mathbb{W},\mathbb{W})}{\sigma} + \exp \frac{v_{t+1}(M_{t+1},\mathbb{W},\mathbb{R})}{\sigma}}$$

◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ <

Smoothed Euler equation



69 / 93

EGIVI	ntro	EGM
-------	------	-----

DC-EGM with taste shocks

DC-EGM ver. 3.0

- Start from terminal period, compute optimal consumption rule and value function. Loop backwards over time:
- **2** EGM step for each discrete choice *d* and every state *st*
- Sompute *d*-specific value functions and consumption rules
- Compute the "secondary" upper envelope over the "zig-zag" regions of the *d*-specific value functions and update the corresponding consumption rules
- Compare the *d*-specific value functions to find optimal switching points (compute upper envelope)
- Reconstruct overall consumption rule and value function from optimal switching points

DC-EGM with taste shocks

- With EV taste shocks DC-EGM becomes simpler
- The problem is re-formulated in terms of choice specific value functions
- Calculation of *primary* upper envelope is replaced by calculation of logsum
- Easier computation of expectations (due to less discontinuities)
- More memory is required to store choice specific value functions

Extreme value Homotopy

Theorem: approximation with logit smoother

Let σ index scale of Type 1 extreme value taste shocks for the discrete choices in a DC-DP problem with D choices. Then we have the following bound

$$|EV_{\sigma,t}(s) - V_t(s)| \le \sigma \left[\sum_{j=0}^{T-t} \beta^j\right] \log(D)$$

This implies that the extreme-value perturbed policy functions $c_{\sigma,t}(s,\epsilon)$ and $\delta_{\sigma,t}(s,\epsilon)$ converge pointwise to $c_t(s)$ and $\delta_t(s)$, the optimal continuous and discrete decision rules to a DP problem without any taste shocks as $\sigma \to 0$.

Optimal consumption with taste shocks only



73 / 93



Optimal consumption with random returns



Intro	EGM	DC-EGM	Multiple dimensions
Credit c	onstraints		

- Credit constraints are handled so well by EGM because it is never necessary to compute utility of nearly zero consumption
- Instead we "connect the dots" (0,0) and (M_t^{cc}, M_t^{cc})

 M_t^{cc} — level of wealth corresponding to $A_t = 0$

- Inevitable when value functions have to be computed
- If utility is additively separable in consumption and discrete choices (AS), the problem can be avoided entirely!

Intro	EGM	

Multiple dimensions

(日) (四) (三) (三) (三)

76 / 93

Credit constraints

Dealing with credit constraints

- For each d_t compute M_{t,d_t}^{cc} correspond to zero savings EGM loop can be started from A = 0 M_{t,d_t}^{cc} : $\forall M < M_{t,d_t}^{cc}$ $c_t^{\star} = M$
- Value function for $M < M_{t,d_t}^{cc}$ has analytic form $V_t^{d_t}(M) = u(M, d_t) + \beta E V_{t+1}^0(d_t)$ $E V_{t+1}^0(d_t) \text{expected value of ending period } t \text{ with } A_t = 0$
- **3** (AS) $\Rightarrow V_t^{d_t}(M) = u(M) + v(d_t) + \beta E V_{t+1}^0(d_t)$
- V_t^{d_t} (M) do not intersect when M < min_{dt} {M_{t,dt}^{cc}}
 ⇒ No need to compute utility of nearly zero consumption

Intro E	EGM
---------	-----

Multiple dimensions

Credit constraints

Dealing with credit constraints

- For each d_t compute M_{t,d_t}^{cc} correspond to zero savings EGM loop can be started from A = 0 M_{t,d_t}^{cc} : $\forall M < M_{t,d_t}^{cc}$ $c_t^* = M$
- Value function for $M < M_{t,d_t}^{cc}$ has analytic form $V_t^{d_t}(M) = u(M, d_t) + \beta E V_{t+1}^0(d_t)$ $E V_{t+1}^0(d_t)$ — expected value of ending period t with $A_t = 0$
- $(AS) \Rightarrow V_t^{d_t}(M) = u(M) + v(d_t) + \beta E V_{t+1}^0(d_t)$
- V_t^{dt} (M) do not intersect when M < min_{dt} {M_{t,dt}^{cc}}
 ⇒ No need to compute utility of nearly zero consumption

Intro	EGM

Multiple dimensions

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

76 / 93

Credit constraints

Dealing with credit constraints

- For each d_t compute M_{t,d_t}^{cc} correspond to zero savings EGM loop can be started from A = 0 M_{t,d_t}^{cc} : $\forall M < M_{t,d_t}^{cc}$ $c_t^{\star} = M$
- Value function for $M < M_{t,d_t}^{cc}$ has analytic form $V_t^{d_t}(M) = u(M, d_t) + \beta E V_{t+1}^0(d_t)$ $E V_{t+1}^0(d_t)$ — expected value of ending period t with $A_t = 0$
- $(AS) \Rightarrow V_t^{d_t}(M) = u(M) + v(d_t) + \beta E V_{t+1}^0(d_t)$

V^d_t (M) do not intersect when M < min_{dt} {M^{cc}_{t,dt}}
 ⇒ No need to compute utility of nearly zero consumption

Intro	EGM

Multiple dimensions

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

76 / 93

Credit constraints

Dealing with credit constraints

- For each d_t compute M_{t,d_t}^{cc} correspond to zero savings EGM loop can be started from A = 0 M_{t,d_t}^{cc} : $\forall M < M_{t,d_t}^{cc}$ $c_t^{\star} = M$
- **2** Value function for $M < M_{t,d_t}^{cc}$ has analytic form $V_t^{d_t}(M) = u(M, d_t) + \beta E V_{t+1}^0(d_t)$ $E V_{t+1}^0(d_t)$ — expected value of ending period t with $A_t = 0$
- $(AS) \Rightarrow V_t^{d_t}(M) = u(M) + v(d_t) + \beta E V_{t+1}^0(d_t)$
- V_t^{dt} (M) do not intersect when M < min_{dt} {M_{t,dt}^{cc}}
 ⇒ No need to compute utility of nearly zero consumption

Pension benefit .25y



Intro

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 三日

78 / 93

Multi-dimensional generalizations

Intro	EGM

EGM + VFI

- Barillas & Fernandez-Villaverde, JEDC 2007 A Generalization of the Endogenous Grid Method
 - Sun EGM w.r.t. one choice keeping other controls fixed
 - Perform a VFI w.r.t. the rest of decision variables
- Ludwig & Schön, Computational Economics, 2018 Endogenous Grids in Higher Dimensions: Delaunay Interpolation and Hybrid Methods
 - Solve the model of human capital investment + consumption/savings
 - Ompare three approaches which differ by the interpolation method
 - Seed to interpolate on irregular multidimensional grid

Multidimensional endogenous grid



80 / 93

Interpolation on the irregular grid

- Johannes Brumm, Michael Grill, JEDC 2014 Computing equilibria in dynamic models with occasionally binding constraints
 - Delaunay triangulation based interpolation



Interpolation on the irregular grid

- Matthew White, JEDC 2015 The Method of Endogenous Gridpoints in Theory and Practice
 - Focus on general theory of multidimensional EGM
 - Map non-linear rectangles into regular ones



Interpolation on the irregular grid

- Jeppe Druedahl, Thomas Jørgensen, JEDC 2017 A General Endogenous Grid Method for Multi-Dimensional Models with Non-Convexities and Constraints
- Add occasionally binding constraints and allow for non-convexities
- Re-interpolate on regular grid while performing upper envelope



83 / 93

Consumption + pension contributions model Pension fund contributions policy function



Consumption + pension contributions model Next period pension wealth n



Intro EGM DC-EGM Multiple dimensions

Estimation

General theory on multidimensional EGM

- Matthew White, JEDC 2015 The Method of Endogenous Gridpoints in Theory and Practice
 - Invertibility condition for the system of non-linear equations
- Jeppe Druedahl, Thomas Jørgensen, JEDC 2017 A General Endogenous Grid Method for Multi-Dimensional Models with Non-Convexities and Constraints
- Formulate the sufficient condition, i.e. particular mapping has to be an injection
- Iskhakov, Econ Letters 2015 Multidimensional endogenous gridpoint method: solving triangular dynamic stochastic optimization problems without root-finding operations + Corrigendum
 - Focus on analytical invertibility to avoid root-finding operations

Sufficient conditions for EGM to be applicable

- Oncave utility function
- Post decision states (A_t) form a set of sufficient statistics for the states and decisions in period t
- State variables can be analytically computed from post decision states (M_t = A_t + c_t)
- The Hessian of the utility function can be converted to lower-triangular by permuting its rows and relabeling the variables

Then the dynamic problem can be solved (for interior solution) without root-finding operations by multidimensional EGM

Intro

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) 三

88 / 93

Estimating life cycle models using endogenous gridpoint methods

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

89 / 93

What to do with EGM methods

We can solve many problems of this type \Rightarrow

- - calibration
 - structural estimation with your favourite method
 - NFXP: inner loop to solve the model, outer loop to optimize the objective function
- ${\small @ Use the solver repeatedly in some ``outer loop'' \rightarrow \\$
 - individual heterogeneity : solve the model for each individual in the sample
 - unobserved heterogeneity : random effects
 - flexibility of distributional assumptions

Monte Carlo experiments



Disutilty of work, with income uncertainty, many discrete choices, only with choice data, only with consumption data, smoothing

Intro	EGM	DC-EGM
EGM vs	MPFC	

Jørgensen, 2012 *Economics Letters* Structural Estimation of Continuous Choice Models: Evaluating

EGM and MPEC.

Deaton consumption/savings model in infinite horizon, MC experiment with ML on synthetic data, 1 structural parameter $% \left({{{\rm{D}}_{{\rm{A}}}} \right)$

β		RMSE	Time
70	EGM	0.002	0.1 sec.
.70	MPEC	0.049	112.4 sec.
05	EGM	0.006	1.9 sec.
.95	MPEC	0.009	93.7 sec.
00	EGM	0.000	5.0 sec.
.99	MPEC	0.000	30.9 sec.

Intro	EGM	DC-E

Points to take home

- In EGM and DC-EGM is fast and accurate solution methods
- On root-finding operations in regular case
- Efficient with credit constraint
- Oeterministic discrete-continuous problems are hard:
- Sinks in value functions, discontinuous policy functions
- Snowball effect in the accumulation of kinks over time
- With EV taste shocks the problem is alleviated
- Several equation of the structural of added for smoothing
- Sacilitate estimation using discrete choice data

github.com/fediskhakov/dcegm

				_
1	1	۲	1	9





- Code up the Euler equation solver in model_phelps.m and model_deaton.m and verify solution against FVI solver
- Code up an EGM solver for infinite horizon version of the problems in model_phelps.m and model_deaton.m
- (a) Replicate the solution to consumption/retirement problem using model_retirement.m;

(b) Investigate how the variance of shocks to income and scale of the taste shock effect the solution;

(c) Simulate the consumption path for $\beta R=1$ and discuss the accuracy of the solutions

- Add education to the retirement model so that wage incomes varies by education, discuss the differences in labor supply decisions
- Add part time work decision in the retirement model and simulate the case of phased retirement